

Major change visitors' report

Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	.2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors	2

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Roehampton University
Programme title	PsychD in Counselling Psychology
Mode of delivery	Full time Part time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Practitioner psychologist
Relevant modality	Counselling psychologist
Date of submission to the HCPC	25 July 2016
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Richard Kwiatkwoski (Counselling psychologist) David Packwood (Counselling psychologist)
HCPC executive	Mandy Hargood

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

SET 2: Programme admissions

SET 3: Programme management and resources

SET 4: Curriculum SET 6: Assessment

The education provider has highlighted a number of changes to the curriculum and assessment of the current programme in line with recent changes from the professional body. In addition to this, the education provider is proposing a new part time route for the programme.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack

- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme specification
- Standard of proficiency mapping
- Module descriptors
- Curriculum development proposal
- Supervisor assessment form

Section	three:	Additional	docum	entation
Section	unee.	Auullioliai	uocum	CIIIALIUII

	The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
	The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.
Secti	on four: Recommendation of the visitors
the pr those	commend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that ogramme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our ards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.
The v	isitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:
	There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
	There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on

Date of ETP final decision: 28 November 2016

ongoing approval of the programme.