

Major change visitors' report

Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details	1
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors	2
Section five: Visitors' comments	2

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Bradford
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Healthcare Science – Life Sciences (Blood Science)
Mode of delivery	Full time Part time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Biomedical scientist
Date of submission to the HCPC	7 September 2016
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Doreen Shanks (Biomedical scientist) Nigel Westwood (Biomedical scientist)
HCPC executive	Rebecca Stent

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources
SET 4: Curriculum
SET 6: Assessment

The education provider has indicated changes to the module delivery and assessment for the Stage 1/FHEQ Level 4 physiology module in order to utilise new resources. The education provider also stated that there have been some addition and merges of teaching sessions.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)

- Module handbook
- Module descriptors
- Tutorial and Anatomage session documentation
- Module modification pro forma

Section three: Additional documentation

- ☒ The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- ☐ The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

Section four: Recommendation of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- ☒ There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- ☐ There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Section five: Visitors' comments

The visitors noted references to the 'HPC' in the BM-1211L Module Handbook and references to the 2007 HCPC SOPs for biomedical scientists in the module descriptor for Human Physiology. However, since 2012, the HPC has been called the HCPC and the most recent SOPs for biomedical scientists were updated in 2014. As such, the visitors recommend that the education provider revise all documentation to ensure that any reference to the HCPC and its standards are up to date and accurate.